

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/937,475	11/15/2001	Werner Philomena Theophiel Camps	WSP:201 US	9458
24041 7	590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC			MOY, JOSEPH MAN	
5555 MAIN ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILLIAMSVI	LLE, NY 14221-5406			PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	V	

, .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/937,475	CAMPS, WERNER THEOPHIEL	CAMPS, WERNER PHILOMENA THEOPHIEL				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph Moy	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the provided by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co	/. ommunication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	8 October 2004.						
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.						
	<del>-</del>						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-38 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27 and 29 is/are versions. Claim(s) 21-26,28 and 32-38 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19,20,30,31 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vithdrawn from consideration  .	1.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in a	Application No	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	🗂	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO 	)-152)				

Serial Number: 09/937475

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 19,20,30 and 31 are finally rejected as set forth in the Office Action dated 07/30/03.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The term attachment is too broad to have any patentable meaning in view of the attachment item 41 of German 195 49 166. Anything attached to the pocket is reasonably considered as an attachment. The attachment item 41 has its own weight and such additional weight will be inherently stabilizing the lower end substantially. Since the term stabilizing is not defined in the specification, any additional weight will causes substantially less motion to the pocket It is not understood what attachment is considered as 'usual meaning' as it is not even defined in the specification. To speculate that padding is notoriously light is meaningless. The publication does not suggest that item 41 is so light that its weight does not inherently substantially stabilize the pocket. Whether the stabilization is insignificant or not is not the issue as long as it is inherently capable to perform such function substantially, that will meet the claimed language. All the speculative argument without any supportive fact is mere an opinion, and such speculative opinion is not convincing.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner

Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145

Date: 11/02/04

Primary Examiner